

# Sim4IR: The SIGIR 2021 Workshop on Simulation for Information Retrieval Evaluation

Krisztian Balog  
University of Stavanger  
Stavanger, Norway  
krisztian.balog@uis.no

Paul Thomas  
Microsoft  
Canberra, Australia  
pathom@microsoft.com

David Maxwell  
Delft University of Technology  
Delft, The Netherlands  
d.m.maxwell@tudelft.nl

Shuo Zhang  
Bloomberg  
London, United Kingdom  
szhang611@bloomberg.net

## ABSTRACT

The use of simulation techniques is not foreign to information retrieval. In the past, simulation has been employed, for example, for constructing test collections and for model performance prediction and analysis in a broad array of information access scenarios. Nevertheless, a standardized methodology for performance evaluation via simulation has not yet been developed. The goal of this workshop is to create a forum for researchers and practitioners to promote methodology development and more widespread use of simulation for evaluation by: (1) identifying problem settings and application scenarios; (2) sharing tools, techniques, and experiences; (3) characterizing potentials and limitations; and (4) developing a research agenda.

### ACM Reference Format:

Krisztian Balog, David Maxwell, Paul Thomas, and Shuo Zhang. 2021. Sim4IR: The SIGIR 2021 Workshop on Simulation for Information Retrieval Evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '21), July 11–15, 2021, Virtual Event, Canada*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3404835.3462821>

## 1 THEME AND PURPOSE

Sim4IR is one-day workshop dedicated to the topic of using simulation techniques for information retrieval (IR) evaluation. The goal of this workshop is to create a forum for researchers and practitioners to present and discuss methods, tools, techniques, and experiences related to the use of simulation as a means to evaluate IR systems, and to develop a research agenda that drives methodology development and allows to unlock the potential of simulation techniques.

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).  
*SIGIR '21, July 11–15, 2021, Virtual Event, Canada*

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8037-9/21/07...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3404835.3462821>

## 1.1 Motivation and Relevance

Simulation is not foreign to IR. In the past it has been employed, among others, to facilitate automatic construction of known-item test collections [1], to generate synthetic test collections [7], to analyze search behavior [12], and to evaluate interactive tasks such as search sessions [3, 5], typing search queries [4], filling values in a table [15], or conversational item recommendation [16] and search refinement [13]. Still, the use of simulation is not widespread. The potential for using simulation has only been recognized by relatively few IR researchers so far.

Recently, the need for simulation has become ever more apparent, with the emergence of areas where other types of evaluation are infeasible. One specific area is conversational information access scenarios, such as conversational item recommendations [6, 9, 10, 16], where human evaluation is both very time and resource intensive at scale. Another example is the case of test collections, which cannot be shared, e.g., because of privacy constraints [7].

We therefore argue that it is time to more fully embrace simulation as a means of evaluation, and to start working towards establishing a standard methodology around it—as it has been done for offline (test collection based) evaluation [14], online evaluation [8], or user studies [11]. The IR community is uniquely suited to drive research and development in this area, given its rigorous focus on evaluation methodology that dates back to the inception of the field.

## 1.2 Topics

Topics for the workshop include, but are not limited to:

- Problem settings and application scenarios that lend themselves to evaluation via simulation, for example:
  - Simulation of IR test collections
  - Simulation of users and user interactions
- Characterizing the capabilities and limitations of simulation approaches for various IR problems
- Simulation methods, tools, techniques, and toolkits
- Evaluation of simulation

## 2 FORMAT AND PLANNED ACTIVITIES

Our aim is to create a dynamic, interactive, energetic workshop structured to encourage group discussion and active collaboration among attendees. The workshop will feature two keynote talks,

paper presentations, multiple (parallel) breakout sessions, and a final discussion session to wrap up the event.

As this field is at an early stage of development, there is still a lot of uncertainty about which of the approaches will lead to successful deployment; we aim to attract and discuss a wide range of ideas and perspectives by soliciting multiple types of contributions (regular, position and demonstration papers, as well as talks featuring already published works).

We invited submissions of:

- *regular papers* (4-6 pages) that present original technical, theoretical, or experimental contributions;
- *position papers* (2-4 pages) that explore controversial, risk-taking or nascent ideas that have the potential to spark debate and discussion at the workshop;
- *demonstrator papers* (max 4 pages) that present first-hand experience with research prototypes or operational systems;
- *featured talks*, to present work that has already been published in a leading conference or journal, but is relevant to the topics this workshop.

### 3 OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES

The expected outcomes of the workshop are:

- concrete insight into the potential of simulation techniques, the barriers to success, and concrete steps to take this research forward;
- synchronize related research happening in IR, AI, and NLP in ways that combine the strengths of each discipline; and
- have a lively, interactive workshop where everyone contributes and that inspires attendees to think “*outside the box*.”

The results will be disseminated in various ways:

- A high quality, peer reviewed workshop proceedings.
- A report on the results of the workshop will be submitted to *SIGIR Forum*.
- We consider co-authoring a comprehensive white paper on the deliberations of the workshop for publication in a suitable journal.
- If the outcome lives up to our high expectations, we will consider a special issue in an appropriate journal.

### 4 RELATED WORKSHOPS

The *SIGINT* workshop at *SIGIR 2010* looked at the simulation of interactions [2]—although a decade old now, this report is useful

for framing the benefits of employing simulation within IR/IIR contexts. “*The main conclusion and general consensus was that simulation offers great potential for the field of IR; and that simulations of user interaction can make explicit the user and the user interface while maintaining the advantages of the Cranfield paradigm.*” [2] Nevertheless, it is about time to revisit the potential of simulation and to establish a research agenda for its broader use.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Leif Azzopardi, Maarten de Rijke, and Krisztian Balog. 2007. Building simulated queries for known-item topics: An analysis using six European languages. In *Proceedings of the 30th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval (SIGIR '07)*. 455–462.
- [2] Leif Azzopardi, Kalervo Järvelin, Jaap Kamps, and Mark D Smucker. 2011. Report on the SIGIR 2010 workshop on the simulation of interaction. In *ACM SIGIR Forum*, Vol. 44. ACM New York, NY, USA, 35–47.
- [3] Feza Baskaya, Heikki Keskustalo, and Kalervo Järvelin. 2012. Time Drives Interaction: Simulating Sessions in Diverse Searching Environments. In *Proceedings of the 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '12)*. 105–114.
- [4] Fei Cai and Maarten de Rijke. 2016. *A Survey of Query Auto Completion in Information Retrieval*. Vol. 10. Hanover, MA, USA. 273–363 pages.
- [5] Ben Carterette, Ashraf Bah, and Mustafa Zengin. 2015. Dynamic Test Collections for Retrieval Evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on The Theory of Information Retrieval (ICTIR '15)*. 91–100.
- [6] Jianfeng Gao, Michel Galley, and Lihong Li. 2019. Neural Approaches to Conversational AI. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.* 13, 2-3 (2019), 127–298.
- [7] David Hawking, Bodo Billerbeck, Paul Thomas, and Nick Craswell. 2020. *Simulating Information Retrieval Test Collections*. Morgan and Claypool.
- [8] Katja Hofmann, Lihong Li, and Filip Radlinski. 2016. Online Evaluation for Information Retrieval. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.* 10, 1 (2016), 1–117.
- [9] Jin Huang, Harrie Oosterhuis, Maarten de Rijke, and Herke van Hoof. 2020. Keeping Dataset Biases out of the Simulation: A Debiased Simulator for Reinforcement Learning Based Recommender Systems. In *Fourteenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys '20)*. 190–199.
- [10] Eugene Ie, Chih-Wei Hsu, Martin Madenov, Vihan Jain, Sanmit Narvekar, Jing Wang, Rui Wu, and Craig Boutilier. 2019. RecSim: A Configurable Simulation Platform for Recommender Systems. *CoRR abs/1909.04847* (2019). arXiv:1909.04847
- [11] Diane Kelly. 2009. Methods for Evaluating Interactive Information Retrieval Systems with Users. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.* 3, 1–2 (jan 2009), 1–224.
- [12] David Maxwell and Leif Azzopardi. 2016. Agents, Simulated Users and Humans: An Analysis of Performance and Behaviour. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '16)*. 731–740.
- [13] Alexandre Salle, Shervin Malmasi, Oleg Rokhlenko, and Eugene Agichtein. 2021. Studying the Effectiveness of Conversational Search Refinement Through User Simulation. In *43rd European Conference on IR Research (ECIR '21)*. 587–602.
- [14] Mark Sanderson. 2010. Test Collection Based Evaluation of Information Retrieval Systems. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.* 4, 4 (2010), 247–375.
- [15] Shuo Zhang and Krisztian Balog. 2017. EntiTables: Smart Assistance for Entity-Focused Tables. In *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '17)*. 255–264.
- [16] Shuo Zhang and Krisztian Balog. 2020. Evaluating Conversational Recommender Systems via User Simulation. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (KDD '20)*. 1512–1520.